Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48067 BASF CORPORATION RN100634922

Docket No. 2013-2226-MLM-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

MLM - IHW, UIC

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

BASF Beaumont Agro Plant, 14385 West Port Arthur Road, Beaumont, Jefferson County

Type of Operation:

Chemical manufacturing facility

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: October 24, 2014

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$72,160

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$14,432 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$28,864

Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$28,864

Name of SEP: Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development

Areas, Inc. (Third-Party Pre-Approved)

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: September 23, 2013

Date(s) of NOE(s): November 15, 2013

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48067 BASF CORPORATION RN100634922 Docket No. 2013-2226-MLM-E

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to include a Texas Waste Code for each hazardous waste itemized on the manifest. Specifically, 41 shipments of waste were shipped without Texas Waste Code numbers [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 335.10(a)(1) and 40 Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") § 262.20(a)].
- 2. Failed to provide a complete and accurate Annual Waste Summary ("AWS") detailing the management of each hazardous and Class I waste generated on-site during the report calendar year. Specifically, the Respondent failed to provide a properly completed AWS report for calendar years 2011 and 2012 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 335.9(a)(2) and 335.9(a)(2)(B)].
- 3. Failed to use a tank made of or lined with materials which will not react with, and are otherwise compatible with, the hazardous waste to be stored, so that the ability of the tank to contain the waste is not impaired. Specifically, the investigator documented that the Respondent was storing hazardous waste exhibiting the characteristics of corrosivity in tank nos. J-170, B-181 and pre-injection unit tank 414-A [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 335.69(a)(1)(B) and 335.112(a)(9) and 40 CFR §§ 262.34(a)(1)(ii), 264.172 and 265.190].
- 4. Failed to conduct hazardous waste determinations and classifications. Specifically, waste determinations and classifications were not conducted for two process wastewater waste streams at their point of generation [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 335.62, 335.503 and 335.504 and 40 CFR § 262.11].
- 5. Failed to maintain chemical or physical characteristic of the injected fluids within specified permit limits for the protection of the injection well, associated facilities, and injection zone and to ensure proper operation of the Facility. Specifically, pH of disposed waste in injection well nos. WDW-155 and WDW-201 ranged between 1.10 and 1.90, which was less than the permitted pH range of 2.0 and 12.5 [30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 335.2, and 331.63(h) and 40 CFR § 270.1 and Under Ground Injection Control Permit nos. WDW155 and WDW201 Provision nos. V.C. and VI.A].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

On February 24, 2014, the Respondent developed and implemented procedures to include a Texas Waste Code for each hazardous waste itemized on the manifest.

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48067 BASF CORPORATION RN100634922 Docket No. 2013-2226-MLM-E

Technical Requirements:

- 1. The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). (See SEP Attachment A)
- 2. The Order will also require the Respondent to:
- a. Immediately, cease disposing of any additional unauthorized waste in the injection wells.
- b. Within 30 days:
- i. Submit a complete and accurate AWS for calendar years 2011 and 2012;
- ii. Begin using tanks constructed of or lined with materials that are compatible with the waste to be stored in them; or submit documentation showing that the tanks B170 & J181 are identified as Elementary Neutralization Units on the Notice of Registration along with a flow diagram and that tank 414 is designated as a Waste Water Treatment Unit in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit along with a flow diagram;
- iii. Conduct waste determinations and classifications on all waste streams generated at the Facility; and
- iv. Develop and implement procedures to maintain physical and chemical characteristics of injected fluids within specified permit limits.
- c. Within 45 days, submit written certification to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions a. and b.

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A
Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A
SOAH Referral Date: N/A
Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 48067 BASF CORPORATION RN100634922 Docket No. 2013-2226-MLM-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mike Pace, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC R-04, (817) 588-5933; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: Stuart Beckley, SEP Coordinator, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-3565

Respondent: Debbie Dalley, Site Manager, BASF CORPORATION, 14385 West Port

Arthur Road, Beaumont, Texas 77705

Kurt W. Brock, President, BASF CORPORATION, 100 Campus Drive, Florham Park,

New Jersey 07932

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2013-2226-MLM-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	BASF CORPORATION
Penalty Amount:	Fifty-Seven Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty- Eight Dollars (\$57,728)
SEP Offset Amount:	Twenty-Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty- Four Dollars (\$28,864)
Type of SEP:	Contribution to a Third-Party Pre-Approved SEP
Third-Party Administrator:	Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.
Project Name:	Household Hazardous Waste Collection
Location of SEP:	Jefferson County: Neches River Basin, Gulf Coast Aquifer

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

a. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator named above. The contribution will be to the **Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")** for the Household Hazardous Waste ("HHW") Collection project. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP Agreement between the Third-Party Administrator and the TCEQ (the "Project"). Specifically, the SEP Offset Amount will be used to coordinate with city and county government officials and private entities (collectively known as "Partner Entities") to conduct events for residents to bring in HHW such as paint, thinners, pesticides, oil and gas, corrosive cleaners, and fertilizers for proper disposal (the "Project"). Where possible, the Project may also offer electronics collection, disposal, and recycling. The Third-Party Administrator shall work with Partner Entities to determine exactly which materials will be accepted and how they will be disposed of or recycled.

The Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that individuals qualified to make determinations regarding receiving, handling, and temporarily storing HHW are present at each event. Third-Party Administrator shall use only licensed haulers and authorized disposal sites.

The Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that, at least 45 days before each Collection Event, Form TCEQ-20459 Notification for a Household Hazardous Waste Collection Event, as may be amended, is submitted to TCEQ in accordance with Chapter 335, Texas Administrative Code. The SEP will be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

All dollars contributed will be used for the direct cost of the Project, including but not limited to supplies, materials, and equipment. Any portion of this contribution that is not able to be spent on the specifically identified SEP may, at the discretion of the Executive Director ("ED"), be applied to another pre-approved SEP.

The Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being made solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action. The Respondent shall not profit in any manner from this SEP.

b. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a means of properly disposing of HHW which might otherwise be disposed of in regular landfills, storm drains, sewer systems, or other means detrimental to the environment. This SEP will provide assistance to help rid communities of the dangers and health threats associated with HHW, and will provide for proper and protective disposal or recycling of collected materials.

c. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall make the check payable to **Texas Association of RC&D SEP** and shall mail the contribution with a copy of the Agreed Order to:

Texas Association of RC&D Areas, Inc. Attn.: Jerry Pearce, Executive Director P.O. Box 2533 Victoria, Texas 77902

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, the Respondent shall provide the Enforcement SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount, as described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the ED may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that the Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, the Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the Project, the Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for the reimbursement of a SEP; shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality;" and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP and/or project, made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that **the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Attachment A and in the attached Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Assigned 9-Dec-2013 PCW 21-May-2014 Screening 19-Dec-2013 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent BASF CORPORATION Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN100634922 Facility/Site Region 10-Beaumont Major/Minor Source Major **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 48067 No. of Violations 5 Docket No. 2013-2226-MLM-E Order Type 1660 Government/Non-Profit No Media Program(s) Industrial and Hazardous Waste Multi-Media Underground Injection Control Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$59,500 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 23.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$13,685 Enhancement for two NOVs with dissimilar violations, one Agreed Order containing a denial of liability, and reduction for one environmental Notes 0.0% Enhancement Culpability No Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 -\$1,025 **Economic Benefit** \$0 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 Total FB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance \$11,400

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% \$0 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$72,160 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$72,160 Reduction Adjustment -\$14,432 20.0% Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g Deferral offered for expedited settlement. Notes \$57,728

\$72,160

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Respondent BASF CORPORATION

Case ID No. 48067

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100634922

Media [Statute] Industrial and Hazardous Waste

Enf. Coordinator Mike Pace

		Other written NOVs	2	4%
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	1	20%
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	o	0%
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		-1%
	04413	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
		Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
		Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
		Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2) 23
Repe	at Violator (4-4-40)
L	No	manage de la companya	centage (SUD	total 3) <u>0</u>
Com		ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		,
Comi	Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)0
as exempted AR∎				
	Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for two NOVs with dissimilar violations, one Agreed Order containi liability, and reduction for one environmental audit.	ng a denial of	M E in the plan

Screening Date		Docket N	0. 2013-2226-MLM-E		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	BASE CORPORATION 48067			•	(September 2011) ion August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN100634922			TOP ACTO	,
	Industrial and Hazardous	Waste			***************************************
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number	Mike Pace				
	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 3	35.10(a)(1) and 40 Code 262.20(a)	e of Federal Regulations	("CFR") §	
		77-777/			
Violation Description		as Waste Code for each h 11 shipments of waste w Code numbers.			
			Base	Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper					
Release	Harm Major Modera				
OR Actual Potential			Percent 0.0%		
į.			*****		
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Modera	te Minor			
			Percent 1.0%		
		HADDIKAN DAN CANARAN KARENTA ATAU MARKA			
Matrix Notes	Less than 30% o	f the rule requirement wa	as not met.		
			Adjustment	\$24,750	
					\$250
Violation Events					
Number of V	iolation Events 41		Number of violation of	days	
	daily				
	weekly monthly				
mark only one with an x	quarterly		Violation Base	Penalty	\$10,250
	semiannual annual				
	single event x				
	Forty-One si	ngle events are recomme	ended.		
Good Faith Efforts to Comp		<u></u>			\$1,025
	Extraordinary Before NC	NOV to EDPRP/Settlemen	ot Offer		
	Ordinary	×			
	N/A	(mark with x)			
	Notes 2014, a	pondent came into compl fter the Notice of Enofrce November 15, 2	ement ("NOE") dated		To provide the control of the contro
Лартіу, апс п	in the state of th		Violation	Subtotal	\$9,225
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation		Statutory Limit	***************************************	42,223
	ed EB Amount	\$11	Violation Final Pena		\$11,583
		violation Final Assesse			\$11,583
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	+22/500

	E(conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent		ATION					
Case ID No.							
eg, Ent. Reference No.	RN100634922						
Media	Industrial and	Hazardous Waste	!			Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1					reitein mierest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Vrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description		•					
	no comma or p						
Delaved Costs							
Equipment		I		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	23-Sep-2013	24-Feb-2014	0.42	\$11	n/a	\$11
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	waste itemi	zed on the manife	st. The date r co	equired mplian	d is the investigation of the date.	is Waste Code for each on date, and the fin	al date is the
Disposal		1 1		0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Osh (d-d)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)							
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

Screening Date		Docket No. 2013-2226-MLM-E	PCW
Kespongent Case ID No.	BASF CORPORATION 48067		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			FCW Revision Adjust 3, 2011
	Industrial and Hazardous	Waste	
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	I bearing the second se		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Adr	min. Code § 335.9(a)(2) and 335.9(a)(2)(B)	
	Called to avoide a se		ALKIC'/S
Violation Description	detailing the managemen during the report calend	mplete and accurate Annual Waste Summary (", nt of each hazardous and Class I waste generate dar year. Specifically, the Respondent failed to p ed AWS report for calendar years 2011 and 201	ed on-site provide a
		Bas	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Healt Harm	h Matrix	**************************************
Release		e Minor	HHH
OR Actual			THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
Potential		Percent 0.0%	***************************************
>>Programmatic Matrix			22712222
Falsification	Major Moderate	7	
		Percent 1.0%	
Matrix Notes	Less than 30% of	the rule requirement was not met.	
Notes			
		Adjustment	\$24,750
		nopostinent	
			\$250
Violation Events			
Number of \	Violation Events 2	Number of violation	days
	dally weekly		
	monthly		
mark only one with an x	quarterly	Violation Bas	e Penalty \$500
	semiannual		
	annual x		
	Two cinete		
	rwo single	events are recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 0.09 Before NOV	% Reduction NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$0
	Extraordinary		
	Ordinary		
	N/A x	(mark with x)	
	Notes The Respo	ondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	
	<u>L</u>	Violetian	Subtotal \$500

Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	Test
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$145 Violation Final Pen	alty Total \$615
	This v	riolation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits) \$615

	Er	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	BASF CORPOR	ATION		-241511241241			
Case ID No.	48067						
teg. Ent. Reference No.	RN100634922						
Media	Industrial and	Hazardous Waste	<u>.</u>				Years of
Violation No.		.,				Percent Interest	Depreciation
uiolation ito.	_					5.0	15
	Itom Cast	Date Required	Final Date	Vec	Internet Caund	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description			i mai Date		Ainterest Saveu	Oneume Costs	LU Alliuum
item bescription	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Delayeu Costs Equipment		l I		0.00	\$0	so	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	<u>\$0</u>
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	25-Jan-2012	21-Dec-2014		\$145	n/a	\$145
Notes for DELAYED costs	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	ie, and	the final date is t	years 2011 and 201 he estimated date o	f compliance.
Avoided Costs	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	ie, and enterir	the final date is t	he estimated date o for one-time avoid	f compliance.
Avoided Costs Disposal	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	nterir	the final date is t ig item (except \$0	he estimated date of one-time avoides	f compliance. led costs) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	nterir 0.00 0.00	the final date is t ng item (except \$0 \$0	he estimated date o for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	f compliance. led costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00	the final date is to the final date is the final date is to the final date is	for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	f compliance. led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	the final date is t ing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	he estimated date of or one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	f compliance. s0 s0 s0 s0 s0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	the final date is t og item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	he estimated date of for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	f compliance. sed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	the final date is t ing item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	f compliance. sed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	the final date is t g item (except	he estimated date of for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	f compliance. s0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	required is t	he date the AWS	for 2011 was d	nterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	the final date is t g item (except	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	f compliance. sed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		Docket No. 2013-2226-MLM-E		PCW
	BASF CORPORATION		Policy Revision	3 (September 2011)
Case ID No.			PCW Rev	ision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.				
	Industrial and Hazardous W	Vaste		
Enf. Coordinator	£			
Violation Number Rule Cite(s)	<u> </u>	S 225 60(a)(1)(B) and 225 112(a)(b) and 40 0	rn cc 1	
Rule Cite(5)		§ 335.69(a)(1)(B) and 335.112(a)(9) and 40 C .34(a)(1)(ii), 264.172 and 265.190	rk 33	
		e of or lined with materials which will not react v	vith, and	
		with, the hazardous waste to be stored, so that	, 11	
Violation Description	of the tank to contain th	he waste is not impaired. Specifically, the inves	tigator	
biolation baseliption	documented that the Ki	espondent was storing hazardous waste exhibiti		
	characteristics of corrosiv	ity in tank nos. J-170, B-181 and pre-injection	unit tank	
	L	414-A		
		Bas	e Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Healt!	n Matriy		
	Harm			
Release		Minor		
OR Actual	<u> </u>			
Potential	X	Percent 30.0%		
>>Programmatic Matrix				***************************************
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		,
		Percent 0.0%		
			1	
Matrix Human health	or the environment will or	could be exposed to pollutants which would exc	eed levels	
		r environmental receptors as a result of the viol		
				e in entre
		Adjustment	\$17,500	Andrew A
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$17,500	Section 2
				\$7,500
Violation Events				
VIOIATION EVENUS				
Number of \	Violation Events 3	87 Number of violation	days	
	N-		·	
	daily			
	weekly			
mark only one	monthly x	:: 	- D	422 500
with an x	quarterly semiannual	Violation Bas	e Penaity	\$22,500
	annual			
	single event			
Three mor	othly events are recommend	led from the September 23, 2013 investigation	to the	
		19, 2013 screening date.		
La didition of the second				
Good Faith Efforts to Com	0.09 vIq	6 Reduction		\$0
,	Before NOV		<u>L</u>	T_
	Extraordinary			
	Ordinary			
	N/A x	(mark with x)		
	The Respon	ndent does not meet the good faith criteria for		
	Notes	this violation.		
	L			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Violation	Subtotal	\$22,500
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	Test	
				+35.55
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$31 Violation Final Pena	-	\$27,675
	This vi	iolation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted f	or limits) [\$27,675

Respondent	BASF CORPOR	RATION	17000000000000000000000000000000000000				
Case ID No.							
ea. Ent. Reference No.		1					
		Hazardous Waste	1				Years of
Violation No.		mazaradad maste	•			Percent Interest	Depreciation
violation Ro.	3					F.0	
	Thom Cock	Data Described	Figur Baka	w	*********	5.0	1!
		Date Required	rillal vate	715	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
				000000000000000000000000000000000000000			
Delayed Costs				.,,	4		
Equipment				0.00		\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	23-Sep-2013	21-Dec-2014	1.24	\$31	n/a	\$31
Notes for DELAYED costs				compli	iance.	nal date is the estin	
Avoided Costs Disposal	ANNUAL	TSE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00		for one-time avoid	seo costs) \$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Supplies/Equipment				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	}	\$0	\$0
other (as needed)		/ 		H_O.OO_		J. J	
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Notes for AVOIDED costs Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$500			TOTAL		\$31

文 建George (Till),2019

Respondent ASE CORPORATION Respondent Assert ASE CORPORATION Respondent	Screening Da		Docket	No. 2013-2226-MLM-E	PCW
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RR100634922 Media Statute Mise Pace					Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)
Media Statute Industrial and Hazardous Waste Enf. Coordinator Mile Pace Violation Number 4 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 335.62, 335.503 and 335.504 and 40 CFR § 262.11					PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 336.62, 335.503 and 335.504 and 40 CFR § 262.11	Media (Statut	[e] Industrial and Hazardo	us Waste		
Solidation Description Failed to conclust hazarcous waste determinations and classifications. Specifically, waste determinations and classifications were not conducted for two process waste determinations and classifications were not conducted for two process waste determinations and classifications were not conducted for two process wastewater waste streams at their point of generation. Sase Penalty S25,000					
Violation Description Failed to conduct hazardous waste determinations and classifications. Specifically, waste determinations and classifications were not conducted for two process wastewater waste streams at their point of generation. Sase Penalty \$225,000 Security Security Percent OR Actual Potential Percent Actual Potential Percent OR Actual Actual Percent OR Actual Percent OR Actual		السسينينينينينينيا			
Violation Description waste determinations and classifications were not conducted for two process wastewater waste streams at their point of generation. Base Penalty 925,000	Rule Cite	30 Tex. Admin. Coo	de §§ 335.62, 335.503 ar	nd 335.504 and 40 CFR § 2	!62.11
Violation Description waste determinations and classifications were not conducted for two process wastewater waste streams at their point of generation. Base Penalty 925,000					
Violation Description waste determinations and classifications were not conducted for two process wastewater waste streams at their point of generation. Base Penalty 925,000		Failed to conduct haza	ardous waste determinatio	ons and classifications. Sp	ecifically,
See Penalty \$25,000	Violation Descript	The second secon		entre contrato de la	rocess
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor		wastewa	iter waste streams at the	ir point of generation.	
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor					
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor				Base	e Penalty \$25.000
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential X Percent 30.0% >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3 87 Number of violation days Weekly Meskly Weekly We			****		
Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential x Percent 30.0% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Percent 0.0% Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$17,500 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3 87 Number of violation days Wield ally Weekly Stationary Weekly Stationary St	>> Environmental, Prop				***************************************
>> Programmatic Matrix Palsification Major Moderate Minor	Relea				
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Percent 0.0% Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. ### Adjustment \$17,500 ### \$17,500 ### Violation Events Matrix Notes Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0% Adjustment \$17,500 \$7,500 ### Violation Events Wiolation Base Penalty \$22,500 Wiolation Base Penalty \$22,500 Wiolation Base Penalty \$22,500 Wiolation Base Penalty \$22,500 South Faith Efforts to Comply \$0.0% Reduction \$0.0% Reduction		Security of the security of th		,	
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0%	Poten	itial x		Percent 30.0%	
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0.0%	>>Programmatic Matrix	¥			
Matrix Notes Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment			rate Minor		
Notes that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment	III III III III III III III III III II			Percent 0.0%	
Notes that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment					
Violation Events Number of Violation Base Penalty Niolation Base Penalty Niolation Base Penalty Niolation Base Penalty Niolation Events Number of Violation Base Penalty Niolation Base Penalty Niolation Base Penalty Niolation Base Penalty Niolation Subtotal Niolation Subtotal Niolation Subtotal Niolation Subtotal Niolation Subtotal Niolation Final Penalty Total					
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Adaly weekly weekly yeard and the september 23, 2013 investigation to the pacember 19, 2013 screening date. Three monthly events are recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the pacember 19, 2013 screening date. Good Faith Efforts to Comply Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675	Notes that a	e protective of human heal	th or environmental recep	ptors as a result of the viol	ation.
Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events Adally weekly weekly weekly with an x Wiolation Base Penalty Semiannual single event Three monthly events are recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. Good Faith Efforts to Comply Extraordinary Ordinary Ordinary N/A Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500 **Complete Complete Compl	<u> </u>				
Number of Violation Events Number of Violation Events 3				Adjustment	\$17,500
Number of Violation Events 3 87 Number of violation days Mail Mail Meekly Meekly Meekly Monthly X Meekly Monthly X Meekly Monthly X Meekly Monthly X Meekly					\$7,500
Number of Violation Events 3 87 Number of violation days Mail Mail Meekly Meekly Meekly Monthly X Meekly Monthly X Meekly Monthly X Meekly Monthly X Meekly					
Mark only one with an x Weekly monthly x Weekly monthly x Wildlation Base Penalty \$22,500	Violation Events				
mark only one with an x Weekly monthly X	Number	of Violation Events 3	8.	Number of violation	days
mark only one with an x Weekly monthly X		•			
mark only one with an x Mark only one with an x Seminaral single event Seminaral single e					
Three monthly events are recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. Good Faith Efforts to Comply 0.0% Reduction 80		•			
Three monthly events are recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. Good Faith Efforts to Comply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675		ne nivartorly		Violation Base	e Penalty \$22,500
Three monthly events are recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. Good Faith Efforts to Comply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A	William				-
Three monthly events are recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. Good Faith Efforts to Comply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675	:				
Good Faith Efforts to Comply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675		Sirgie everii			
Good Faith Efforts to Comply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675	Throo	monthly grounds are recomm	anded from the Contemb	or 22 2012 investigation	
Good Faith Efforts to Comply Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	rince		**************************************	2 2 7 2 7 3 7 4 7 5 7 6 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	to the
Extraordinary Ordinary Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675	<u> La constantanta de la constantanta de la constanta del constanta de la constanta de la constanta de la constanta de la const</u>				
Extraordinary Ordinary Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675	Good Faith Efforts to Co	omply c).0% Reduction		\$0
Ordinary N/A	25.515.515.515.515.515.515.515.515.515.5	Before		ent Offer	
N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675		· Literature			
Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtotal \$22,500		-			
Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675		N/Al X	J(mark with x)		
Violation Subtotal \$22,500 Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675		Notes The Re	The state of the s	— I	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675			this violatio	on.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675				1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	100 500
Estimated EB Amount \$522 Violation Final Penalty Total \$27,675				Violation	SUDTOTAL \$22,500
	Economic Benefit (EB) f	or this violation		Statutory Limit	Test
	Ectin	nated FR Amount	¢522	Violation Final Pos-	alty Total ¢27 675
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$27,675	ESUII	INCO ED MINUUIL	7366	viviativii Filiai Pelic	11cy 10col 327,073
		Thi	is violation Final Asses	sed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits) \$27,675

Item Cost						Depreciation
					5.0	1.
NO commas or a	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
	1	 1	T 0 00	Γ # <u>0</u>		
						\$0
	 					\$0
						<u>\$0</u>
					***********************	\$0 \$0
	 					\$0 \$0
¢8.400	22.Con.2012	31 Dec 2014				\$522
30,400	1 23-260-2013	21-Dec-2014				\$3 <u>22</u> \$0
						\$0 \$0
						\$0 \$0
		200 mark 100 00 00 mm (120 mm)			n two waste streams Imated compliance o	
req	uired is the invest	igation date, an	d the f	inal date is the est	imated compliance (date.
req	uired is the invest	igation date, an	d the f	inal date is the est	imated compliance of the control of	date. led costs)
req	uired is the invest	igation date, an	d the f	inal date is the est	imated compliance (date. led costs) \$0
req	uired is the invest	igation date, an	d the f enterin	inal date is the est ig item (except) \$0	imated compliance of the compl	date. led costs)
req	uired is the invest	igation date, an	o the formal distribution of the following t	inal date is the est ig item (except \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	late. led costs) \$0 \$0
req	uired is the invest	igation date, an	o the formal of the following	inal date is the est ig item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
req	uired is the invest	igation date, an	othe for the following of the following	inal date is the est og item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	fate. led costs
	\$8,400	\$8.400 23-Sep-2013	\$8.400	\$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	0.00 \$0	

Malakin Cinin Land

Respondent Case ID No.	19-Dec-2013 Docket No. 2013-2226-MLM-E	PCW
Cacainna		vision 3 (September 2011)
		N Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
	Industrial and Hazardous Waste	
Enf. Coordinator	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Violation Number	IL	
Rule Cite(s)		
***************************************	Ground Injection Control ("UIC") Permit Nos. WDW155 and WDW201 Provision nos	
	V.C. and VI.A.	
	Failed to maintain chemical or physical characteristic of the injected fluids within	
	specified permit limits for the protection of the injection well, associated facilities,	
Violation Description		ıf l
•	disposed waste in injection well nos. WDW-155 and WDW-201 ranged between 1.10	
routing	and 1.90, which was less than the permitted pH range of 2.0 and 12.5.	

	Base Penalt	\$25,000
		* hamman
>> Environmental, Prope	rty and Human Health Matrix Harm	460
Release		
OR Actual	[
Potential		
	10.070	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0.0%	
Human health	or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which d	o
maurix not exceed le	vels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the	
Notes	violation.	
<u> </u>		ك
	Adjustment \$21,25	0
water		\$3,750
V		
Violation Events		
Number of '	Violation Events 1 87 Number of violation days	
rediffici of	violation Events 1 will be of violation days	
account of the control of the contro	daily	
	weekly	
		*
mark only one	monthly	v \$3.750
mark only one with an x	monthly violation Base Penalt	y \$3,750
	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalt semiannual	y \$3,750
	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalt semiannual annual	y \$3,750
	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalt semiannual	y \$3,750
with an x	monthly quarterly x y Violation Base Penalty semiannual single event	
with an x	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 24, 2013 inv	
with an x	monthly quarterly x y Violation Base Penalty semiannual single event	
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date.	
with an x	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date.	
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x Semiannual annual single event with the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. Ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	г
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event Violation Base Penalty 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date.	г
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x Semiannual annual single event with the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. Ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	г
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event with the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. Ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	г
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event revent is recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x)	г
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event revent is recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x ((mark with x)) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	г
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x Violation Base Penalty semiannual annual single event vevent is recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for the proof of	г
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x Semiannual annual single event is recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. ply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.	г
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event revent is recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x ((mark with x)) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	\$0
One quarterly Good Faith Efforts to Com	monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event is recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. ply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x ((mark with x)) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtota	\$0
with an x One quarterly	monthly quarterly x Semiannual annual single event is recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. ply 0.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x (mark with x) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtota	\$0
Good Faith Efforts to Com Economic Benefit (EB) for	monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event with single eve	\$0
Good Faith Efforts to Com Economic Benefit (EB) for	monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event is recommended from the September 23, 2013 investigation to the December 19, 2013 screening date. ply O.0% Reduction Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinary N/A x ((mark with x)) Notes The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation. Violation Subtota	\$0
Good Faith Efforts to Com Economic Benefit (EB) for	monthly quarterly x semiannual annual single event with single eve	\$0 \$3,750

	E	conomic I	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	BASF CORPOR	.ATION				***************************************	
Case ID No.	48067						
lea. Ent. Reference No.	RN100634922						
Media	Industrial and	Hazardous Waste					Years of
Violation No.	5					Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	23-Sep-2013	21-Dec-2014	1.24	\$62	n/a	\$62
Notes for DELAYED costs			date is the est	imatec	date of compliance	he investigation dat e. for one-time avoid	
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	rze [1] avoinen	COSES DEFOTE	0.00	lig item (except \$0		sed costs)
Disposal Personnel		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
nspection/Reporting/Sampling		 		0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Supplies/Equipment		 			\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	40
				I A AA			\$0 #0
				0.00			\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility.

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



CEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600124895, RN100634922, Rating Year 2013 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2008, through August 31, 2013.

Customer, Respondent, CN600124895, BASF CORPORATION

Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 5.32

or Owner/Operator:

RN100634922, BASF Beaumont Agro Plant Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 0.80

Regulated Entity: **Complexity Points:**

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

05 - Chemical Manufacturing

Location:

14385 W PORT ARTHUR RD BEAUMONT, TX 77705-9290, JEFFERSON COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 10 - BEAUMONT

ID Number(s):

WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0001169000

UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PERMIT WDW125 **UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PERMIT WDW201**

UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PERMIT WDW155 UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PERMIT WDW433

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 12570

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 92971

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 3715A AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 20057

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 92159 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 100052 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 113174

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 111225 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 109595

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 108888

PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM/SUPPLY REGISTRATION 1230103

INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE PERMIT 50219 STORMWATER PERMIT TXR15ZW70

WASTEWATER EPA ID TX0003671

UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PERMIT WDW302 **UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL** PERMIT WDW301 **UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PERMIT WDW434 UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL** PERMIT PIU30053

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS PERMIT 978B

AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 12570A AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS ACCOUNT NUMBER JE0113D AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS AFS NUM 4824500008 **AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 95536 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 101786 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 111253 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 111249 AIR NEW SOURCE PERMITS REGISTRATION 111223**

IHW CORRECTIVE ACTION SOLID WASTE REGISTRATION (SWR) 30053

AIR EMISSIONS INVENTORY ACCOUNT NUMBER JE0113D INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE EPA ID TXD067261412 INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE SOLID WASTE

REGISTRATION (SWR) 30053

POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANNING ID NUMBER P00610

Rating Date: 09/01/2013 Compliance History Period: September 01, 2008 to August 31, 2013 Rating Year: 2013

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: May 21, 2014 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement Component Period Selected: May 21, 2009 to May 21, 2014

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Mike Pace Phone: (817) 588-5933

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A

5) If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

Effective Date: 09/12/2013 ADMINORDER 2013-0400-AIR-E (1660 Order-Agreed Order With Denial)

Classification: Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(b)(2)(F)

30 TAC Chapter 116, SubChapter B 116.115(c)

5C THSC Chapter 382 382.085(b) Rqmt Prov:3715A, Special Condition 1 PERMIT

Description: Failure to maintain emission rates below the allowable emission limits. EIC B18 MOD(2)(D)

See addendum for information regarding federal actions.

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

ine approva	ai dates of investigation	is (CCEDS
Item 1	June 08, 2009	(768271)
Item 2	July 07, 2009	(759395)
Item 3	July 20, 2009	(804371)
Item 4	August 04, 2009	(804372)
Item 5	August 31, 2009	(767946)
Item 6	September 03, 2009	(804373)
Item 7	October 05, 2009	(804374)
Item 8	November 13, 2009	(804375)
Item 9	December 07, 2009	(804376)
Item 10	December 11, 2009	(784552)
Item 11	January 06, 2010	(804377)
Item 12	February 08, 2010	(804370)
Item 13	March 10, 2010	(830772)
Item 14	March 12, 2010	(793318)
Item 15	April 08, 2010	(830773)
Item 16	April 12, 2010	(793970)
Item 17	May 03, 2010	(830774)
Item 18	June 04, 2010	(846232)
Item 19	July 06, 2010	(860828)
Item 20	August 06, 2010	(866794)
Item 21	August 30, 2010	(849060)
Item 22	September 20, 2010	(873866)
Item 23	October 20, 2010	(881468)
Item 24	November 17, 2010	(887997)
Item 25	November 30, 2010	(878385)
Item 26	January 11, 2011	(884676)
Item 27	January 18, 2011	(885214)
Item 28	January 20, 2011	(902270)
Item 29	January 25, 2011	(886767)
Item 30	February 23, 2011	(909045)
Item 31	February 28, 2011	(895264)
Item 32	April 20, 2011	(924746)
Item 33	May 19, 2011	(937988)
Item 34	June 20, 2011	(945312)
Item 35	June 29, 2011	(933340)
Item 36	July 20, 2011	(952587)
Item 37	August 19, 2011	(944927)
Item 38	September 19, 2011	(965298)
Item 39	October 05, 2011	(965299)
Item 40	October 13, 2011	(971341)
Item 41	November 21, 2011	(968868)
Item 42	December 19, 2011	(984266)
Item 43	January 26, 2012	(990567)
Item 44	February 28, 2012	(983654)
Item 45	April 02, 2012	(1003452)

Item 46	April 13, 2012	(1003451)
Item 47	April 27, 2012	(1010016)
Item 48	May 21, 2012	(1006849)
Item 49	May 24, 2012	(1016411)
Item 50	June 24, 2012	(1024132)
Item 51	July 20, 2012	(1031527)
Item 52	August 24, 2012	(1037902)
Item 53	September 24, 2012	(1046629)
Item 54	October 18, 2012	(1037422)
Item 55	October 31, 2012	(1042225)
Item 56	November 07, 2012	(1042412)
Item 57	November 21, 2012	(1060752)
Item 58	December 20, 2012	(1051724)
Item 59	December 21, 2012	(1060754)
Item 60	February 04, 2013	(1078891)
Item 61	February 20, 2013	(1078890)
Item 62	February 22, 2013	(1059049)
Item 63	March 19, 2013	(1089269)
Item 64	April 24, 2013	(1095663)
Item 65	May 30, 2013	(1106588)
Item 66	June 28, 2013	(1110264)
Item 67	August 25, 2013	(1124903)
Item 68	September 16, 2013	(1105372)
Item 69	September 18, 2013	(1129505)
Item 70	October 21, 2013	(1124333)
Item 71	October 24, 2013	(1135234)
Item 72	November 20, 2013	(1140634)
Item 73	December 17, 2013	(1147091)
Item 74	January 13, 2014	(1153161)
Item 75	January 29, 2014	(1144454)
Item 76	February 25, 2014	(1146014)
Item 77	March 17, 2014	(1151583)
Item 78	March 25, 2014	(1160497)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

Date:

1

2

CN600124895 06/30/2013 (1117147)

Self Report? YES

Classification: 2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter Description:

02/28/2014 (1167142) Date:

CN600124895

Moderate

Moderate

Classification: Self Report? YES

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121(a)

30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits:

Notice of Intent Date: 08/07/2012 (1035422)

No DOV Associated

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
BASF CORPORATION	§	
RN100634922	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2013-2226-MLM-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On ________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding BASF CORPORATION ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 361 and Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 27. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a chemical manufacturing facility at 14385 West Port Arthur Road in Beaumont, Jefferson County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Facility involves or involved the management of industrial hazardous waste as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 361. The Respondent is utilizing injection wells as that term is defined in Tex. Water Code § 27.002(11).
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about November 20, 2013.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seventy-Two Thousand One Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$72,160) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Twenty-Eight Thousand Eight

è

Hundred Sixty-Four Dollars (\$28,864) of the administrative penalty and Fourteen Thousand Four Hundred Thirty-Two Dollars (\$14,432) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Twenty-Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty-Four Dollars (\$28,864) shall be conditionally offset by the Respondent's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP").

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that on February 24, 2014, the Respondent developed and implemented procedures to include a Texas Waste Code for each hazardous waste itemized on the manifest.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to include a Texas Waste Code for each hazardous waste itemized on the manifest, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 335.10(a)(1) and 40 Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") § 262.20(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 23, 2013. Specifically, 41 shipments of waste were shipped without Texas Waste Code numbers.
- 2. Failed to provide a complete and accurate Annual Waste Summary ("AWS") detailing the management of each hazardous and Class I waste generated on-site during the report calendar year, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 335.9(a)(2) and 335.9(a)(2)(B), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 23, 2013. Specifically, the Respondent failed to provide a properly completed AWS report for calendar years 2011 and 2012.

4

- 3. Failed to use a tank made of or lined with materials which will not react with, and are otherwise compatible with, the hazardous waste to be stored, so that the ability of the tank to contain the waste is not impaired, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 335.69(a)(1)(B) and 335.112(a)(9) and 40 CFR §§ 262.34(a)(1)(ii), 264.172 and 265.190, as documented during an investigation conducted on September 23, 2013. Specifically, the investigator documented that the Respondent was storing hazardous waste exhibiting the characteristics of corrosivity in tank nos. J-170, B-181 and preinjection unit tank 414-A.
- 4. Failed to conduct hazardous waste determinations and classifications, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 335.62, 335.503 and 335.504 and 40 CFR § 262.11, as documented during an investigation conducted on September 23, 2013. Specifically, waste determinations and classifications were not conducted for two process wastewater waste streams at their point of generation.
- 5. Failed to maintain chemical or physical characteristic of the injected fluids within specified permit limits for the protection of the injection well, associated facilities, and injection zone and to ensure proper operation of the Facility, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 335.2 and 331.63(h) and 40 CFR § 270.1 and Under Ground Injection Control ("UIC") Permit nos. WDW155 and WDW201 Provision nos. V.C. and VI.A., as documented during an investigation conducted on September 23, 2013. Specifically, pH of disposed waste in injection well nos. WDW-155 and WDW-201 ranged between 1.10 and 1.90, which was less than the permitted pH range of 2.0 and 12.5.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: BASF CORPORATION, Docket No. 2013-2226-MLM-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall implement and complete a SEP in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above, Twenty-Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty-Four Dollars (\$28,864) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference, is implemented by the Respondent. The Respondent's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
- 3. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, cease disposing of any additional unauthorized waste in the injection wells.
 - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Submit a complete and accurate AWS for calendar years 2011 and 2012, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 335.9(a)(2) and 335.9(a)(2)(B).
 - ii. Begin using tanks constructed of or lined with materials that are compatible with the waste to be stored in them, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 335.69(a)(1)(B) and 335.112(a)(9) and 40 CFR §§ 262.34(a)(1)(ii), 264.172, and 265.190; or
 - Submit documentation showing that the tanks B170 & J181 are identified as Elementary Neutralization Units ("ENUs") on the Notice of Registration along with a flow diagram (showing the ENUs) and that tank 414 is designated as a Waste Water Treatment Unit in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit along with a flow diagram.
 - iii. Conduct waste determinations and classifications on all waste streams generated at the Facility, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 335.62, 335.503 and 335.504 and 40 CFR § 262.11; and
 - iv. Develop and implement procedures to maintain physical and chemical characteristics of injected fluids within specified permit limits, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE §§ 335.2 and 331.63(h) and 40 CFR § 270.1 and UIC Permit nos. WDW155 and WDW201 Provision nos. V.C. and VI.A.
 - c. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 3.a. and 3.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and

complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Beaumont Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 3870 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77703-1892

- 4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 5. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 8. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or

BASF CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 2013-2226-MLM-E Page 6

otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

9. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

BASF CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 2013-2226-MLM-E Page 7

For the Commission

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of

BASF CORPORATION

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For	Perm Mowre J	4/7/15 Date	•
agr do	the undersigned, have read and understance to the attached Agreed Order on beha agree to the terms and conditions specified cepting payment for the penalty amount, is	If of the entity indicated belo d therein. I further acknowle	w my signature, and I edge that the TCEQ, in
and	d/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount A negative impact on compliance history of any permit applicate Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney for Increased penalties in any future enformation and the Attorney of Automatic referral to the Attorney of and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized addition, any falsification of any compliance addition, any falsification of any compliance.	ant, may result in: ory; tions submitted; General's Office for contentes, or to a collection agency; rement actions; eneral's Office of any future d by law.	npt, injunctive relief, enforcement actions;
Sign	Do Sallo	9-25-14 Date	

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Attachment A

Docket Number: 2013-2226-MLM-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	BASF CORPORATION
Penalty Amount:	Fifty-Seven Thousand Seven Hundred Twenty- Eight Dollars (\$57,728)
SEP Offset Amount:	Twenty-Eight Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty- Four Dollars (\$28,864)
Type of SEP:	Contribution to a Third-Party Pre-Approved SEP
Third-Party Administrator:	Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.
Project Name:	Household Hazardous Waste Collection
Location of SEP:	Jefferson County: Neches River Basin, Gulf Coast Aquifer

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative penalty amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Offset Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

a. Project

The Respondent shall contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator named above. The contribution will be to the Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&D") for the Household Hazardous Waste ("HHW") Collection project. The contribution will be used in accordance with the SEP Agreement between the Third-Party Administrator and the TCEQ (the "Project"). Specifically, the SEP Offset Amount will be used to coordinate with city and county government officials and private entities (collectively known as "Partner Entities") to conduct events for residents to bring in HHW such as paint, thinners, pesticides, oil and gas, corrosive cleaners, and fertilizers for proper disposal (the "Project"). Where possible, the Project may also offer electronics collection, disposal, and recycling. The Third-Party Administrator shall work with Partner Entities to determine exactly which materials will be accepted and how they will be disposed of or recycled.

The Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that individuals qualified to make determinations regarding receiving, handling, and temporarily storing HHW are present at each event. Third-Party Administrator shall use only licensed haulers and authorized disposal sites.

The Third-Party Administrator shall ensure that, at least 45 days before each Collection Event, Form TCEQ-20459 Notification for a Household Hazardous Waste Collection Event, as may be amended, is submitted to TCEQ in accordance with Chapter 335, Texas Administrative Code. The SEP will be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

All dollars contributed will be used for the direct cost of the Project, including but not limited to supplies, materials, and equipment. Any portion of this contribution that is not able to be spent on the specifically identified SEP may, at the discretion of the Executive Director ("ED"), be applied to another pre-approved SEP.

The Respondent's signature affixed to this Agreed Order certifies that it has no prior commitment to make this contribution and that it is being made solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action. The Respondent shall not profit in any manner from this SEP.

b. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a means of properly disposing of HHW which might otherwise be disposed of in regular landfills, storm drains, sewer systems, or other means detrimental to the environment. This SEP will provide assistance to help rid communities of the dangers and health threats associated with HHW, and will provide for proper and protective disposal or recycling of collected materials.

c. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall make the check payable to **Texas Association of RC&D SEP** and shall mail the contribution with a copy of the Agreed Order to:

Texas Association of RC&D Areas, Inc. Attn.: Jerry Pearce, Executive Director P.O. Box 2533 Victoria, Texas 77902

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Offset Amount, the Respondent shall provide the Enforcement SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Offset Amount to the Third-Party Administrator. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Enforcement Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount, as described in Sections 2 and 3 above, the ED may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount.

In the event the ED determines that the Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, the Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the Project, the Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for the reimbursement of a SEP; shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality;" and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP and/or project, made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that **the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Attachment A and in the attached Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.